

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/076,915 | 02/14/2002 | Anna Lee Tonkovich | 13007B | 1868 | |
| 759 | 90 08/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Frank S. Rosenberg 18 Echo Hill Lane | | | LEUNG, JENNIFER A | | |
| Moraga, CA 94556 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 3 / | | | 1764 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/076,915 | TONKOVICH ET AL. | TONKOVICH ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Jennifer A. Leung | 1764 | | | |

| | Jenniter A. Leung | 1764 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) | affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amore shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing | int of the fee. The appropring originally set in the final Off | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal of the | hs of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see I | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or | • | reducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally | rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | • | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non- | Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | will be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: —. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-31 and 75-85. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 64-74. | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affi | a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> davit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap | peal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after | r entry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the same reasons set forth in the Final Office Action. | it does NOT place the application | n in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape | er No(s) | |
| 10. 🗀 Ouldi, | | A 1.0 | 0 |
| • | P | Lus Wecke EXA DOROSHENKI | بحرية |
| | . At | EXA DOROSHENK I PRIMARY EXAMIN | |

Continuation of 3.

NOTE:

The proposed amendments to the claims raise new issues, and thereby necessitate further consideration and/or search. For instance, applicant's proposed amendments to claims 10, 13, 24 and 27 change the scope of the claims by merely calling for "A process" in the preamble.